

# **MANAGING PERFORMANCE POLICY**

Brief Description (max 50 words)	This policy outlines the procedure for addressing concerns re- lated to an employee's performance and capability. It ensures a consistent and fair approach to identifying, managing, and re- solving performance issues while supporting employees to meet the required standards.	
Version Number	3.2	
Document Reference	POD021	
Policy Dept	People and Organisational Development (POD)	
Equality Impact Assessment Equality Impact Assess- ment	This document has been assessed for equality impact on the protected groups, as set out in the Equality Act 2010. This Policy is applicable as outlined in the 'scope' irrespective of age, disability, sex, gender reassignment, pregnancy, maternity, race (which includes colour, nationality, and ethnic or national origins), sexual orientation, religion or belief, marriage, or civil partnership.	

## **Amendment History**

Version	Date	Reviewer Name(s)	Summary of changes
3.1	October 2024	Jo Campbell	Amendment of appeal process following dis- missal to align to the UoS Appeals process for consistency of approach.
3.2	January 2025	Angie Smith	Updated Template

## Contents

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Scope	3
3.	Procedure: Informal Process	3
4.	Procedure : Formal Process	4
5.	Reasonable adjustments	6
6.	Redeployment	6
7.	Dismissal	6
8.	The right to appeal	.7
9.	Appendix A - Capability / Performance flowchart	8

### 1. Introduction

Capability procedures are not appropriate in the event of alleged misconduct or where it appears under-performance is deliberate or willful. In such circumstances, disciplinary procedures are a more appropriate course of action. Our Sickness Absence Policy sets out arrangements for dealing with cases of frequent or long-term sickness absence. Nonetheless, if a capability case encompasses both performance and absence issues, the arrangements set out in this policy will usually apply.

This policy does not form part of your contract of employment, and we reserve the right to amend or withdraw it at any time.

#### 2. Scope

This policy applies to:

• University of Suffolk employees who have successfully completed their initial period of employment (probation)

Should service conditions apply to any part of this policy, this will be detailed as relevant.

## 3. Procedure: Informal Process

Before embarking on formal performance management procedures, managers should first consider the circumstances of the apparent under-performance. There are two key questions to be considered:

- Has the required performance standard (i.e. the work requirements) been defined and clearly communicated to the employee?
- Are these standards achievable in the circumstances or are external factors having an adverse effect (such as poor systems, equipment breakdown, unclear instructions, ill health, disability, pregnancy, lack of time/resource, no induction/appraisal undertaken with clear objectives set, change of role etc.).

Managers must bring concerns about standards of work, output, or quality to the attention of the employee concerned as soon as possible. This may normally be done through scheduled one-to-one meetings, however if there may be a delay in awaiting a scheduled meeting, the manager will arrange to meet the individual to discuss at the earliest opportunity. It is not usually appropriate to delay raising concerns until an appraisal meeting.

During this informal period, managers must:

- Ensure the staff member is aware of the required standards and how their own performance compares.
- Listen carefully to the staff member's views and consider any mitigating factors.
- Listen to staff members comments and ensure feedback is balanced feedback reflecting positives as well as negatives.

- Ensure appropriate training and supervision is in place to support the staff member, as required.
- Ensure reasonable adjustments are made to support staff with disabilities.
- Consider what steps may reasonably be taken to help staff manage work/life demands.
- Be clear as to where concerns exist around performance and document this in writing including any agreed actions or improvements. An email or shared 1:1 note would suffice in this respect and an agreed timescale for visible improvement in performance should be documented (usually no more than one month).
- Should a manager require further guidance and support regarding this stage of the process they should speak with their People Business Partner.
- Individuals do not have the right to be accompanied at an informal meeting to discuss performance concerns or a right of appeal against a manager expressing that they feel there are performance concerns.

After a reasonable / the agreed period, the manager should meet with the individual again, and if there is limited or no progress, the manager should then consider progressing to the formal procedure. There is no requirement to produce a detailed improvement plan at informal stage as by the very nature of this process, concerns should be raised, discussed and the required standard or performance articulated. Where concerns exist which are significantly serious and which are considered by the manager to require addressing immediately through a structured, formal procedure, the manager may commence performance / capability discussions at the formal stage of this process.

## 4. Procedure : Formal Process

Prior to embarking on formal performance management procedures, managers can discuss the issues with their People Business Partner so that appropriate guidance and advice can be given if required.

#### Step 1: Formal Meeting

A formal performance management / capability meeting will usually be conducted by the line manager and may be supported by a notetaker if required.

- The purpose of the meeting is to make the staff member aware that there are concerns over the ongoing level / standard of work performance, to set a reasonable formal review period for improvement, and to establish any further support measures.
- The staff member will be notified of the date, time, and venue for the meeting by the line manager; the purpose of the meeting, and that they may be accompanied by a work colleague employed by the university or trade union representative only.
- If the staff member or their preferred representative is unable to attend on the date given, they may suggest a reasonable alternative date provided this is within five working days of the original date offered.
- Where availability of the preferred representative is a continuing difficulty, the staff member may be obliged to find an alternative person to accompany them.
- The meeting does not form part of a disciplinary procedure. During the meeting, the staff member must be given specific instances of their shortfall in performance (including where relevant, the impact of the underperformance).

- The staff member should be encouraged to reflect on whether they agree there is a problem and what they think might be the root cause. It may not always be possible to reach a point of agreement on the matter and so evidence of underperformance and capability concerns should have examples to justify them.
- There should be a discussion about what could be done by the individual to ensure an immediate and sustained improvement in performance and a <u>Performance</u> <u>Improvement</u> Plan developed. Where necessary, reasonable support needed by the individual should be agreed and documented.
- If the manager conducting the meeting is satisfied appropriate and reasonable help and support has been offered to the staff member prior to the formal meeting and where improvement is still required following the formal discussion, a formal written warning can be issued at this stage.

A written summary of the outcomes of the meeting should be drafted by the manager and a copy will be sent to the staff member confirming:

- The performance issues discussed including required standards and past shortcomings.
- The agreed improvement plan, including any specific actions agreed for additional support and/or training, and frequency of planned supervision.
- The timescale in which improvements must be achieved depending on the nature of the job usually a period of 1-2 months will be appropriate however depending on the severity of the performance concerns a shorter period may be more appropriate.
- If appropriate, details of any formal warning issued specifying the date the warning was given and the period for which the warning will apply.
- Agree a date for the Step 2 Formal review meeting. In some circumstances it may be appropriate to meet more than once to develop an agreed improvement plan.
- Formal written warnings are placed on the personal file but will expire after 12 months.

## Step 2: Formal Review Meeting

The purpose of this meeting is to review the outcomes of the improvement plan and decide what (if any) further action is required.

- The meeting will normally be conducted by the manager who led the previous formal meeting, assisted by a member of the POD team.
- As before, the staff member will be notified of the date, time and venue for the review meeting, the purpose of the meeting, and will be advised that they may be accompanied by a work colleague or trade union representative. If they are unavailable, the same guidelines apply as per Step 1.
- Again, the meeting is formal to review progress against the agreed improvement plan.

Possible outcomes of this meeting are:

- Performance has improved to a satisfactory level. In these circumstances it may be appropriate to plan one or more further formal review to ensure the improvement is sustained.
- Performance has improved to an extent but continues to fall short of the required standard, if there are any mitigating circumstances as to why the required standard

has not been achieved a further improvement may be considered and a final formal written warning given. If there are no mitigating circumstances a decision to dismiss could be taken.

 Performance has not improved at all, and significant concerns remain. A decision to dismiss will be taken.

If dismissal is the outcome, redeployment may be considered (see section 6 below)

## 5. Reasonable adjustments

While disability is in no way routinely associated with performance problems, managers must consider the possibility that under-performance is the result of a disability or other factors which may require reasonable adjustments to be made. If this is the case, there is a statutory duty to make reasonable adjustments to the working arrangements to help reduce the disadvantage the staff member might otherwise experience. For example, it may be reasonable to provide specialist equipment to assist the staff member or to re-organise work allocation within a team. The manager may wish to seek advice from their People Business Partner

#### 6. Redeployment

While there is no positive duty on employers to create a job for a member of staff who is incapable of performing the role for which they are employed, opportunities for redeployment will be considered. The POD team will assist by identifying vacancies for which the member of staff concerned may meet the minimum criteria described in the person specification.

Vacancies will not normally be held for longer than 3 working days while a member of staff considers whether it may present a suitable alternative. If the member of staff wishes to be considered for such a post, they will usually be invited to attend for an interview with the recruiting manager. They may also be asked to undertake job related tests.

If it appears that the individual could reasonably do the alternative job after a basic level of training, and the individual is willing to be transferred to the alternative role, the appointment should be offered. The job may be offered based on a trial period of up to 6 weeks, in exceptional cases this could be extended to 12 weeks if additional training is required. In the event of redeployment to an alternative post of a lower grade because of capability proceedings, salary protection will not be available.

Redeployment discussions may be required to run concurrently to notice which has been issued for dismissal.

#### 7. Dismissal

Dismissal on grounds of underperformance / capability will not normally occur unless at least one formal written warning has been issued. However, dismissal may occur at any point in the process if the concerns are sufficiently serious and it is considered that it is not sustainable or reasonable for the usual process to be exhausted (due to the impact on the business of the continued serious underperformance). If dismissal is a possible outcome of any meeting, the member of staff must be notified in writing of this possibility in advance of the meeting. Managers considering dismissal on grounds of underperformance /

capability must consult with their People Business Partner (or other senior People & OD representative) prior to dismissal. Payment in lieu of notice may be made.

## 8. The right to appeal

Employees have a right of appeal against a written warning or dismissal.

Such appeals will be heard by a senior member of staff of the University at least one level above the dismissing manager and not previously involved in the case. Any appeal should be lodged within 5 working days of receipt of the warning and should clearly state the grounds for the appeal.

The appeal stage is notintended to be a rehearing of the original case. Hence the grounds for appeal should typically fall within one of the following:

- Procedural error.
- The outcome and recommendations are unreasonable and significantly out of line with the issues considered.
- New information is now available which could not have reasonably been provided when the original outcome was communicated.

Where the appeal relates to new evidence there should be a clear statement provided outlining the reason why this was not available for consideration at the previous stage.

Appeals against dismissal will be heard in line with the The Appeal Procedure (Dismissal) The details for which can be found by clicking **here** 

The individual may be accompanied to the meeting by a trade union representative or work colleague.

Informal	Formal	Formal Review	Potential Outcome
Issues/ concerns			Performance im-
raised during usual			proves to required
management meet-			standard and capabil-
ings / 1:1 or where			ity concerns are allevi-
necessary through a			ated prior to a require-
specially convened			ment to move to formal
meeting.			process. Concerns
Manager indicates			would usually be low level to remain and be
what the concerns are,			resolved at informal
the impact and what			stage only.
improvement is			olago oliny.
needed and by when.			
, , , , ,			
Requirements con-			
firmed in writing (i.e.			
on shared 1:1 notes or			
via email)			
No longer than 1			
No longer than 1 month, but timescale			
should be set accord-			
ing to the required ac-			
tivity/improvement (in			
some cases a lesser			
period of time may be			
reasonable)			
*Where concerns are	serious the manager re	serves the right to com	mence discussions at
the formal stage in o	rder to immediately inv	oke a structured perfo	ormance improvement
plan.			
	Concerns raised un-	Agreed / required ac-	
	der usual manage-	tions and activity (im-	achieved and sus-
	ment discussions / 1:1	provements) are for-	5
	do not result in im-		further action (formal
	provements required. OR where concerns	sess progress made and level of concern /	sign off)
	are sufficiently serious	impact which remains.	Improvement may not
	and impact of under-		have reached required
	performance signifi-	Formal review should	standard in a reasona-
	cant.	occur at the end of the	ble timeframe – a
Managing Performance Pol			

## 9. Appendix A - Capability / Performance flowchart

Formal Performance Improvement Plan drawn up with actions and activity required and timescales. Most plans should span between 1-2 months. It would be exceptional for a plan to need to exceed 3 months. The time- scales are the full du- ration of the process, and a mid-point review may need to be put in place to monitor ongo- ing progress before the final review.	brought forward if ad- ditional concerns come to light through the formal process, or if the impact of under- performance warrants an earlier review.	further extension may be given, or a decision may be reached on the seriousness of the concerns and the im- pact on the lack of im- provement to the re- quired standard. Formal review may re- sult in dismissal on grounds of capability / underperformance.
---	---	---